

ON DISSIPATIVE QUADRATIC STOCHASTIC OPERATORS

FARRUH SHAHIDI

ABSTRACT. In present paper we introduce the notion of dissipative quadratic stochastic operator and cubic stochastic operator. We prove necessary conditions for dissipativity of quadratic stochastic operators. Besides, it is studied certain limit behavior of such operators. Finally we prove ergodic theorem for dissipative operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A51, 47H60, 46T05, 92B99.

Key words: Quadratic stochastic operator, cubic stochastic operators, majorization, ergodicity, dissipative operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is known [6] that the theory of quadratic stochastic operators frequently arise in many models of physics, biology and so on. Let us shortly mention how such kind of operators appear in population genetics. Consider biological population, that is, a community of organisms closed with respect to reproduction [1]. Assume that every individual in this population belongs to one of the species $1, 2, \dots, m$. The scale of species in such that the species of parents i and j unambiguously determine the probability of every species k for the first generation of direct descendants. We denote this probability (the heredity coefficient) via $p_{ij,k}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^m p_{ij,k} = 1$ for all i, j . Assume that the population so large that frequency fluctuations can be neglected. Then the state of the population can be described by the tuple $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ of species probabilities, that is, x_i is the fraction of the species i in the population. In the case of panmixia (random interbreeding), the parent pairs i and j arise for a fixed state $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ with probability $x_i x_j$. Hence

$$x'_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^m p_{ij,k} x_i x_j$$

is the total probability of the species in the first generation of direct descendants. Note that the concept of quadratic stochastic operator firstly introduced by Bernstein in [1]. A lot of papers were devoted to study such operators (see for example, [3],[6],[5],[15],[10],[13]). One of the central problem in this theory is the study limit behavior and ergodic properties of trajectories of quadratic operators ([2],[8],[9],[13]). Note that the studying of a such properties of quadratic stochastic operators is very difficult. Even in the two-dimensional simplex the problem is still unsolved. This problem is well studied for Volterra quadratic stochastic operators ([3]). We show that operators, which we will study is not Volterra operators. In [4] a class of so called bistochastic operators, i.e. operators with property $Vx \prec x$ for all $x \in S^{m-1}$, is outlined (see next section for notations). It should be mentioned that bistochastic operators do not dissipate, i.e. no species of such operators presented in the beginning of the evolution can be disappear. In the present paper we are going to study quadratic and cubic operators satisfying the condition $Vx \succ x$ for every $x \in S^{m-1}$, which will be called *dissipative*. It should be mentioned that the class of bistochastic and dissipative operators are almost disjoint, i.e. only permutation operators can be bistochastic as well as dissipative.

The paper organized as follows. In section 2 we give some preliminaries on quadratic stochastic operators and definition of dissipative ones. There we show that the set of dissipative operators does not form a convex set, while the class of bistochastic operators is convex. In section 3 we study certain limit properties of dissipative ones. Moreover, we describe all such operators in small dimensions. In

section 4 we prove that every dissipative operator satisfies an ergodic theorem. In section 5 we study dissipative cubic stochastic operators. Finally, in section 6 we give a conclusion of obtained results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $S^{m-1} = \{x \in R^m : x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1\}$ be a $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplex. Let $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_k, \dots, 0), (k = \overline{1, m})$ be its vertices.

An operator $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ is called a stochastic operator. A stochastic operator is called a *quadratic stochastic operator (q.s.o. in short)* if

$$(Vx)_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^m p_{ij,k} x_i x_j. \quad (1)$$

Here the coefficients $p_{ij,k}$ satisfy the following conditions

$$p_{ij,k} = p_{ji,k} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m p_{ij,k} = 1. \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that q.s.o. is well defined, i.e. it maps the simplex into itself.

An operator $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ is called *cubic stochastic operator (c.s.o. in short)* if it has a following form

$$(Vx)_k = \sum_{i,j,l=1}^m p_{ijl,k} x_i x_j x_l \quad k = \overline{1, m}, \quad (3)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in S^{m-1}$, and

$$p_{ijl,k} = p_{ilj,k} = p_{jil,k} = p_{jli,k} = p_{lij,k} = p_{lji,k} \geq 0, \quad \forall i, j, l, k = \overline{1, m}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_{ijl,k} = 1 \quad \forall i, j, l = \overline{1, m}.$$

Now, let $x, y \in R^m$. Let's put $x_{\downarrow} = (x_{[1]}, x_{[2]}, \dots, x_{[m]})$, where $(x_{[1]}, x_{[2]}, \dots, x_{[m]})$ - decreasing rearrangement of $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, that is $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[m]}$.

Definition 1. We say that x *majorized* by y (or y *majorates* x), and write $x \prec y$ (or $y \succ x$) if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- 1) $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, \quad k = \overline{1, m-1}$
- 2) $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^m y_{[i]}$

Lemma 2.1. [7] For any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in S^{m-1}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}\right) \prec x \prec (1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Remark. It should be noted that " $\prec$ " is not a partial ordering, because from $x \prec y$ and $y \prec x$ it only follows that $x_{\downarrow} = y_{\downarrow}$.

Definition 2. A stochastic operator V is called *dissipative* if

$$Vx \succ x, \quad \forall x \in S^{m-1}. \quad (4)$$

In particular q.s.o.(1) is called dissipative q.s.o. if it satisfies the above mentioned condition (4). By this analogue we define dissipative cubic stochastic operators.

Observation Consider the case when V is linear dissipative operator, that is $Vx = Ax$, here $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=\overline{1,m}}$. Now we show that only permutation linear operators are dissipative.

Since $Vx \succ x$ then by putting $x = e_i$ we have $Ae_i \succ e_i$. From the lemma 2.1. we obtain that $(Ae_i)_{\downarrow} = (e_i)_{\downarrow}$. The last is mean that only one component of the vector Ae_i is 1 and the others

are 0, which means that dissipative linear operators are permutation operators. Therefore in linear case studying dissipative operators is very simple. More interesting to study non-linear dissipative operators.

We mention that dissipative operators are not studied, except so called F- quadratic stochastic operators [12]. Let us consider the set $E = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. Fix a set $F \subset E$ and call the set of "females" and the set $M = E \setminus F$ the set of "males". The element 0 will play the role of empty body

$$p_{ij,k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, i, j \in F \cup 0 \text{ or } i, j \in M \cup 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq 0, i, j \in F \cup 0 \text{ or } i, j \in M \cup 0 \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } i \in F, j \in m, \forall k. \end{cases}$$

Biological treatment of the above coefficients is very clear: a "child" k can be generated if its parents are taken from different classes F and M . In general $p_{ij,0}$ can be strictly positive for $i \in F$ and $j \in M$, this corresponds, for instance, to the case when "female" i with "male" j can not generate a "child" since one of them (or both) is (are) ill. In general, if we set $F = \{1\}$ then F- q.s.o. have the following view:

$$V : \begin{cases} V(x_0) = 1 - 2x_1 \sum_{i=2}^m (1 - p_{1i,0})x_i, \\ V(x_k) = 2x_1 \sum_{i=2}^m p_{1i,k}x_i, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

In [12], authors studied limit behavior of such operators for arbitrary set F . There was proven that such operator has a unique fixed and trajectory tend to this point. Only for the case of $F = \{1\}$ our class of operators and F- q.s.o. can intersect. In the next section we study limit behavior of dissipative ones, and show that our class of operators is wider in some sense.

Now recall the term of well known Volterra q.s.o. A q.s.o. (1) is called Volterra q.s.o. if it satisfies an additional assumption $p_{ij,k} = 0 \forall k \notin \{i, j\}$. By changing $a_{ki} = 2p_{ik,k} - 1$ one can write down the following canonical form:

$$(Vx)_k = x_k \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ki} x_i \right)$$

In [3], it was proved that for any non-fixed initial point from the interior of the simplex, trajectory approaches a bound of the simplex. Also we show that dissipative q.s.o. can not be Volterra q.s.o.

Now let us introduce the last notation which will be useful for the next sections.

The point $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$ is called fixed if $Vx^0 = x^0$. As a rule there are tree types of fixed point.

We call a fixed point x^0 elliptic (hyperbolic; parabolic) if the spectrum of Jacobian $J(x^0)$ restricted to the invariant plane $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 0$ lies inside the unit ball (respectively, outside the closure of the unit ball; inside the unit circle).

3. DISSIPATIVE QUADRATIC STOCHASTIC OPERATORS AND THEIR LIMIT BEHAVIOR

In this section we are going to study the regularity of certain dissipative q.s.o. Also we give an example of dissipative q.s.o. which has infinitely many fixed points and study its limit behavior.

The following example shows that the set of dissipative q.s.o. is non-empty.

$$\begin{aligned} (Vx)_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3, \\ (Vx)_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3, \\ (Vx)_3 &= x_2x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $a_{ij} = (p_{ij,1}, p_{ij,2}, \dots, p_{ij,m}) \quad \forall i, j = \overline{1, m}$ where $p_{ij,k}$ are the coefficients of q.s.o. (1). One can see that $a_{ij} \in S^{m-1}$, for all $i, j \in \overline{1, m}$

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a dissipative q.s.o. Then the following conditions hold*

$$(a_{ii})_1 = e_1 \quad \forall i = \overline{1, m}.$$

Proof. We have $Vx \succ x \quad \forall x \in S^{m-1}$. By putting $x = e_i$ we get $e_i \prec Ve_i$. On the other hand from Lemma 2.1 it follows that $e_i \succ x \quad \forall x \in S^{m-1}$. That's why $(e_i)_\downarrow = (Ve_i)_\downarrow$. Then the equality $Ve_i = a_{ii}$ implies the assertion. $\square$

Remark. Note that in [4] quadratic bistochastic operators were studied, that is, operators satisfying the condition $x \succ Vx \quad \forall x \in S^{m-1}$. It was proved that such operators form a convex compact set and its extreme points were studied. The situation under consideration is different. Indeed, let us consider the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} (V_0x)_1 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3, \\ (V_0x)_2 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3, \\ (V_0x)_3 &= x_2x_3. \\ (V_1x)_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3, \\ (V_1x)_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3, \\ (V_1x)_3 &= x_2x_3 \end{aligned}$$

One can see that these operators are dissipative. However, Lemma 3.1 implies that the operator $V_\lambda = \lambda V_1 + (1 - \lambda)V_0$ is not dissipative for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Hence, the set of all dissipative q.s.o. is not convex.

Let V be a dissipative q.s.o. Then thanks to Lemma 3.1 it can be represented by

$$(Vx)_k = \sum_{i \in \alpha_k} x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,k} x_i x_j \quad k = \overline{1, m}, \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha_k \subset I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, $\bigcup_{k=1}^m \alpha_k = I$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (5) be a dissipative q.s.o.*

- (i) *If $j \in \alpha_{k_0}$, then $p_{ij,k_0} = (a_{ij})_{[1]} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall i = \overline{1, m}$.*
- (ii) *If $m \geq 3$, then $(a_{ij})_{[k]} = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 3, \forall i = \overline{1, m}$.*

Proof. (i). Let $j \in \alpha_{k_0}$ and $x = (1 - \lambda)e_j + \lambda e_i$. Here, as before, e_i, e_j are the vertices of the simplex and λ is sufficiently small positive number. It is easy to see that $x_{[1]} = 1 - \lambda$ and $(Vx)_{[1]} = (Vx)_{k_0}$. Since $Vx \succ x$ then $x_{[1]} \leq (Vx)_{[1]}$, so $1 - \lambda \leq (Vx)_{k_0}$ or

$$1 - \lambda \leq (1 - \lambda)^2 + 2p_{ij,k_0}\lambda(1 - \lambda).$$

The last inequality implies that $p_{ij,k_0} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

- (ii). Denote $p_{ij,k^*} = \max_{t \neq k_0} p_{ij,t}$. One can see that $(Vx)_{k^*} = (a_{ij})_{[2]}$. Now from

$$x_{[1]} + x_{[2]} \leq Vx_{[1]} + Vx_{[2]}.$$

we obtain

$$1 \leq (1 - \lambda)^2 + 2(p_{ij,k_0} + p_{ij,k^*})\lambda(1 - \lambda).$$

Assume that $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Then one gets $p_{ij,k_0} + p_{ij,k^*} \geq 1$. This yields that $p_{ij,k_0} + p_{ij,k^*} = 1$ and $(a_{ij})_{[k]} = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 3, \forall i = \overline{1, m}$. $\square$

Observation. Note that statements of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are the necessary conditions for q.s.o. to be dissipative. It turns out that at $m = 2$ the statements are sufficient. Indeed, in this case only dissipative q.s.o. are the identity operator and the following one

$$\begin{aligned} (Vx)_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + ax_1x_2, \\ (Vx)_2 &= (2 - a)x_1x_2, \end{aligned}$$

up to permutation of the coordinates. Here $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

However, when $m \geq 3$ then the statements are not sufficient. Consider the following example of q.s.o.

$$\begin{aligned}(Vx)_1 &= x_1 + x_2 - x_1x_2, \\ (Vx)_2 &= 0.8x_1x_2, \\ (Vx)_3 &= x_3 + 0.2x_1x_2.\end{aligned}$$

One can see that it satisfies the mentioned statements. But for $x^0 = (0.5; 0.49; 0.01)$ we have $Vx^0 \neq x^0$, which means that it is not dissipative.

Studying of limit behavior of all dissipative q.s.o. is a difficult problem. We consider some particular cases. First recall a q.s.o. $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ is called *regular* if the trajectory of any $x \in S^{m-1}$ converges to a unique fixed point.

Note that regular operators a priori must have unique fixed point and its fixed point is attracting.

Now let consider the case $\alpha_1 = I$ and $\alpha_k = \emptyset$ for $k \neq 1$. Then the operator has the following form

$$\left. \begin{aligned}(Vx)_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,1} x_i x_j \\ (Vx)_k &= 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,k} x_i x_j, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m\end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

Theorem 3.3. *A q.s.o. given by (6) is regular. Its unique fixed point is e_1 .*

Proof. Let us first prove that there is a unique fixed point. The existence one follows from the Bohl-Brower theorem. Denote it by $x^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_m^{(0)})$. It is clear that it satisfies the following equality:

$$x_1^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i^{(0)})^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,1} x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)},$$

which can be rewritten by

$$(x_1^{(0)})^2 + x_1^{(0)} x_2^{(0)} + \dots + x_1^{(0)} x_m^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i^{(0)})^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,1} x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)}$$

or

$$(x_2^{(0)})^2 + (x_3^{(0)})^2 + \dots + (x_m^{(0)})^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m (2p_{i1,i} - 1) x_1^{(0)} x_i^{(0)} + \sum_{2 \leq i < j} p_{ij,1} x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)} = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $2p_{ij,1} - 1 \geq 0$. Therefore, the left hand side is positive, which means that the equality holds iff $x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_m = 0$. Hence, q.s.o. (6) has a unique fixed point e_1 .

Now let us show that the operator is regular. Consider a function $\varphi : S^{m-1} \rightarrow R$, defined by

$$\varphi(x) = x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_m.$$

Then

$$\varphi(Vx) = \sum_{i < j} \sum_{k=2}^m 2p_{ij,k} x_i x_j.$$

One can see that $2 \sum_{k=2}^m p_{ij,k} \leq 1$, since $2p_{ij,1} - 1 \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\varphi(Vx) \leq \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j \leq \sum_{i=2}^m x_i \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = \sum_{i=2}^m x_i = \varphi(x).$$

Consequently, $\{\varphi(V^n x)\}$ is a decreasing sequence. Therefore it converges.

Denote

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(V^n x) = C.$$

The equality

$$(V^{n+1}x)_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m ((V^n x)_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,1} (V^n x)_i^{(n)} (V^n x)_j)$$

with $2p_{ij,1} - 1 \geq 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} (V^{n+1}x)_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m ((V^n x)_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,1} (V^n x)_i (V^n x)_j) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m ((V^n x)_i)^2 + \sum_{i < j} (V^n x)_i (V^n x)_j \\ &= (V^n x)_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m ((V^n x)_i)^2 + \sum_{1 < i < j}^m (V^n x)_i (V^n x)_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V^{n+1}x)_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V^n x)_1 = 1 - C$ then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=2}^m ((V^n x)_i)^2 + \sum_{1 < i < j}^m (V^n x)_i (V^n x)_j \right) \leq 0.$$

The last means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V^n x)_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V^n x) = e_1$. Therefore we deduce that the operator (6) is regular. $\square$

Remark If the trajectory of q.s.o. belongs to the edge of the simplex, then it means that in process of time some species of the population in the bound of disappearing. In our case we can conclude that almost all species will disappear.

Remark. Note that q.s.o. of the form (6) need not to be dissipative. Indeed, consider the following example.

$$\begin{aligned} (V_1 x)_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + a_1 x_1 x_2 + b_1 x_2 x_3 + c_1 x_1 x_3, \\ (V_1 x)_2 &= a_2 x_1 x_2 + b_2 x_2 x_3 + c_2 x_1 x_3, \\ (V_1 x)_3 &= a_3 x_1 x_2 + b_3 x_2 x_3 + c_3 x_1 x_3 \end{aligned}$$

here $a_1, b_1, c_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i = 2$. If the coefficient are strictly positive, then q.s.o. need not to be dissipative. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 is valid not only for dissipative ones. On the other hand, we mentioned that in [12] a F-q.s.o. has been studied. Such operators can be represented in (6) form, for the case of $F = \{1\}$. So, our Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of a result in [12] for the case of $F = \{1\}$.

Corollary 3.4. *In the case of $\alpha_k = I$ and $\alpha_j = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$ dissipative q.s.o. is regular and has a unique fixed point e_k .*

Let V be a q.s.o. Then the set $\omega(x^0) = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq k} \{V^n x^0\}}$ is called ω -limit set of trajectory of initial point $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$. From the compactness of the simplex one can deduce that $\omega(x^0) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$.

Now we turn to the another case, namely let $\alpha_1 = I \setminus \{l\}$ $\alpha_2 = \{l\}$ (actually we can put $\alpha_{k_0} = \{l\}$ for some k_0) and $\alpha_k = \emptyset \ \forall k \geq 3$. Then operator (5) has the following form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (Vx)_1 &= \sum_{i=1, i \neq l}^m x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,1} x_i x_j \\ (Vx)_2 &= x_l^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,2} x_i x_j \\ (Vx)_k &= 2 \sum_{i < j} p_{ij,k} x_i x_j, \quad 3 \leq k \leq m \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 3.5. *If $l \neq 2$ then the operator (7) is regular and has a unique fixed point e_1 . If $l = 2$ then the operator (7) has infinitely many fixed points and all of them are parabolic. Moreover, ω -limit set of trajectory of any initial point x^0 belongs to $\text{co}\{e_1, e_2\}$, here $\text{co}A$ denotes a convex hull of the set A .*

Proof. The first part of the proof is similar to the proof of the theorem 3.3.

Therefore, consider when $l = 2$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows that $2p_{ij,1} \geq 1$ and $2p_{i2,2} \geq 1$, hence $p_{i2,1} + p_{i2,2} \geq 1$. But $\sum_{k=1}^m p_{i2,k} = 1$ implies that $2p_{i2,1} = 2p_{i2,2} = 1$ and $p_{i2,k} = 0$ for all $k \geq 3$. Now we can rewrite operator (5) as:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (Vx)_1 &= x_1 + \sum_{i=3}^m x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,1} x_i x_j \\ (Vx)_2 &= x_2 + 2 \sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,2} x_i x_j \\ (Vx)_k &= 2 \sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,k} x_i x_j, \quad 3 \leq k \leq m \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

Putting $x_\lambda = \lambda e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2$, where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we get $Vx_\lambda = x_\lambda$. Therefore, V has infinitely many fixed points x_λ . Simple calculations show that Jacobian $J(x_\lambda)$ of the fixed point x_λ has a following view:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that the only eigenvalue of this matrix is 1, which in its turn belong to the unit ball. Therefore, all of fixed points are hyperbolic.

Now consider a function $\varphi : S^{m-1} \rightarrow R$, defined by $\varphi(x) = x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_m$. Then for any $x \in S^{m-1}$ we have

$$\varphi(Vx) = \sum_{1 < i < j} \sum_{k=3}^m 2p_{ij,k} x_i x_j.$$

The inequality $2p_{ij,1} - 1 \geq 0$ implies $2 \sum_{k=2}^m p_{ij,k} \leq 1$, which yields

$$\varphi(Vx) \leq \sum_{1 < i < j} x_i x_j \leq \sum_{i=3}^m x_i \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = \sum_{i=3}^m x_i = \varphi(x).$$

Consequently, $\{\varphi(V^n x)\}$ is a decreasing sequence. Therefore it converges.

Denote

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(V^n x) = C.$$

From (8) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} (V^{n+1}x)_1 + (V^{n+1}x)_2 &= (V^n x)_1 + (V^n x)_2 + 2 \sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,1} (V^n x)_i (V^n x)_j \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,2} (V^n x)_i (V^n x)_j. \end{aligned}$$

According to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((Vx)_1^{(n+1)} + (Vx)_2^{(n+1)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((Vx)_1^{(n)} + (Vx)_2^{(n)}) = 1 - C$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,1} (Vx)_i^{(n)} (Vx)_j^{(n)} + \sum_{1 < i < j} p_{ij,2} (Vx)_i^{(n)} (Vx)_j^{(n)} \right) = 0,$$

which means that $C = 0$, and therefore $\omega(x) \in \text{co}\{e_1, e_2\}$.

Remark. We showed that all of the fixed points are parabolic, this means that trajectory in a neighborhood of these points is nonstable.

Observation In last two theorems we saw that the trajectory of initial point tend to the bound of the simplex. therefore it is natural to ask whether dissipative q.s.o. and Volterra q.s.o coincide. An answer is negative

Dissipative q.s.o. (5) can be Volterra q.s.o. if and only if $\alpha_k = \{k\}$. On the other hand from the lemma 3 it automatically follows that $p_{ik,k} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_{ki} = 2p_{ik,k} - 1 = 0$ Therefore only identity operator can be contemporary dissipative and Volterra q.s.o. . $\square$

4. ERGODICITY

In this section we are going to show that any dissipative q.s.o. is ergodic.

Recall that a q.s.o. is called *ergodic* if the following limit exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x + Vx + \cdots + V^{n-1}x}{n}$$

for any $x \in S^{m-1}$.

Ulam [14] formulated a conjecture that *any q.s.o. is ergodic*. However, Zakharevich [16] showed that it is not so. He considered the following q.s.o.

$$\begin{aligned} (Vx)_1 &= x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2, \\ (Vx)_2 &= x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3, \\ (Vx)_3 &= x_3^2 + 2x_1x_3 \end{aligned}$$

and proved that such an operator is not ergodic.

Now we show that Ulam's conjecture is true for dissipative q.s.o.

Theorem 4.1. *Any dissipative q.s.o. is ergodic.*

Proof. Let $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ be a dissipative q.s.o. Then we have

$$x \prec Vx \prec V^2x \prec V^3x \prec \cdots$$

It means that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{[1]} &\leq (Vx)_{[1]} \leq (V^2x)_{[1]} \leq \cdots \\ x_{[1]} + x_{[2]} &\leq (Vx)_{[1]} + (Vx)_{[2]} \leq (V^2x)_{[1]} + (V^2x)_{[2]} \leq \cdots \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (Vx)_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (V^2x)_{[i]} \leq \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The sequences $\{\sum_{i=1}^k (V^n(x))_{[i]}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad \forall k = \overline{1, m}$ are increasing and bounded, consequently convergent. The last means that the following sequences are also convergent

$$\{(V^n(x))_{[k]} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad \forall k = \overline{1, m}.$$

Let's denote $y_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V^n(x))_{[k]}$, and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$

If $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in \omega(x^0)$, then there exists $\{x^{(n_j)}\}$, such that $(V^{n_j}x) \rightarrow z$. Therefore we have $(V^{n_j}x)_\downarrow \rightarrow z_\downarrow$. On the other hand $(V^{n_j}x)_\downarrow \rightarrow y$, since y is a limit of the sequence $(V^n x)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. That's why $z_\downarrow = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = y$. Therefore, we infer that any element of $\omega(x)$ is some kind of rearrangement of $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = y$. This means that the cardinality of $\omega(x)$ cannot be greater than $m!$

Let $|\omega(x)| = p$, then the trajectory of the $\{V^n x\}$ tends to the cycle of order p , i.e. the trajectory is divided into p convergent subsequences. The operator V acts as a cyclic permutation of their limits. Therefore we conclude that V is ergodic. $\square$

Remark. Now we proved that limit set of the trajectory is finite. From biological point of view this means that there are periodical evolutions, since there are periodical points of the dissipative q.s.o.

Remark. Let $f : K \rightarrow K$, here f is continuous and K Hausdorff space. It is well known fact that if ω limit set of trajectory of any initial point is finite, then it is ergodic and for any subsequence $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ is also ergodic. That is the following limit exists

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V^{n_1}x + V^{n_2}x + \dots + V^{n_k}x}{k}$$

5. DISSIPATIVE CUBIC STOCHASTIC OPERATORS.

Recently in [11] a notion of cubic stochastic operator was introduced and studied a class of such kind of operators. Namely, In the similar manner, the following results can be proved.

Let us denote $a_{ijl} = (p_{ijl,1}, p_{ijl,2}, \dots, p_{ijl,m}) \quad \forall i, j, l = \overline{1, m}$ where $p_{ijl,k}$ are coefficients of c.s.o. (3).

Lemma 5.1. *If V is a dissipative c.s.o. then*

$$(a_{iii})_{\downarrow} = e_1 \quad \forall i = \overline{1, m}.$$

From Lemma 5.1 we can rewrite dissipative c.s.o. in the following form

$$(Vx)_k = \sum_{i \in \alpha_k} x_i^2 + \sum_{(i-j)^2 + (j-l)^2 + (l-i)^2 > 0} p_{ijl,k} x_i x_j x_l. \quad (9)$$

Here $\alpha_k \subset I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^m \alpha_k = I$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let (9) be a dissipative c.s.o. If $j \in \alpha_{k_0}$, then $p_{ijj,k_0} = (a_{ijj})_{[1]} \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad \forall i = \overline{1, m}$ and $(a_{ijj})_{[k]} = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 3, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, m}$*

Note that necessary conditions for dissipativity of c.s.o. is not solved completely.

Theorem 5.3. *Any dissipative c.s.o. is ergodic.*

Remark. In proved ergodicity we used only the condition (4) and finite dimensionality of the space, therefore we can deduce that any dissipative stochastic operator is ergodic.

6. CONCLUSION.

The main achievement of the present paper is creating and studying a new class of the quadratic stochastic operators. Dissipative q.s.o. has various application in mathematical genetics and one can use given results. The main results of this work are theorems 3.3, 3.5, and 4.1. The methods, which was used for proving results are different from those well-known methods. One can use these methods and techniques for proving another results, not only, in the theory of quadratic stochastic operators, but also in other disciplines of mathematics, namely nonlinear analysis, dynamical systems and ergodic theory. Nevertheless, in class of dissipative q.s.o. there are some open problems.

Prove or disprove the following statements.

Problem 1. Any dissipative q.s.o. has either unique or infinitely many fixed points.

Problem 2. If V is a dissipative q.s.o., then ω -limit set of any non-fixed initial belongs to the bound of the simplex.

Problem 3. Now recall that c.s.o. (3) is said to be Volterra c.s.o. if $p_{ijl,k} = 0 \quad \forall k \notin \{i, j, l\}$. Whether there is non identity dissipative Volterra c.s.o.?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very thankful to Prof. R.N. Ganikhodzhaev and Prof. F.M. Mukhamedov for fruitful discussions and encourage to present work. The work also partially supported by ICTP, OEA-AC-84.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bernstein S.N. The solution of a mathematical problem concerning the theory of heredity. Ucheniye-Zapiski N.-I. Kaf.Ukr.Otd.Mat.,1(1924),83-115(Russian.)
- [2] Ganikhodzhaev N.N., Zanin D.V. On a necessary condition for the ergodicity of quadratic operators defined on two-dimensional. Russian Math. Surveys,3(2004),162-163(Russian).
- [3] Ganikhodzhaev.R.N. Quadratic stochastic operators, Lyapunov functions and tournaments. Russian Acad.Sci. Sbornik.Math., 76(1993),489-506.
- [4] Ganikhodzhaev.R.N. On the definition of quadratic bistochastic operators. Russian Math. Surveys,48(1992), 244-246.
- [5] Kesten H. Quadratic transformations: a model for population growth. I,II Adv.Appl.Prob., 2(1970), 1-82;179-228
- [6] Lyubich Yu.I. Mathematical structures in population genetics. Springer-Verlag,Berlin,1992.
- [7] Marshall A. Olkin I. Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its applications,Academic press, New York-London, 1979.
- [8] Mukhamedov F.M. Ergodic properties of conjugate quadratic operators. Uzbek Math. Jour. 1998, No. 1, 71-79 (Russian).
- [9] Mukhamedov F.M. Weighted ergodic theorems for finite dimensional dynamical systems. Uzbek Math. Jour. 1999, No. 2, 48-53 (Russian).
- [10] Mukhamedov, F. Akin H., Temir S. On infinite dimensional quadratic Volterra operators, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. 310(2005), 533-556.
- [11] Rozikov U A. Hamraev A.Yu. On Cubic operators defined on finite dimensional simplex. Ukr.Mat. Jour., 56(2004), 1424-1433.
- [12] Rozikov U.A., Jamilov U.U. On F-quadratic stochastic operators. www.arxiv.org
- [13] Sarymsakov T.A. Ganikhodzhaev R.N. An ergodic principle for quadratic stochastic operators. Izv. Acad. Nauk. UzSSR. ser. fiz-mat., 6(1979), 34-39.
- [14] Ulam S.M. A collection of mathematical problems. Interscience Publ. New York-London,1960.
- [15] Vallander S.S. On the limit behavior of iteration sequence of certain quadratic transformations, Soviet Math.Doklady,13(1972), 123-126.
- [16] Zakharevich M.I. On a limit behavior and ergodic hypothesis for quadratic mappings of a simplex. Russian Math.Surveys,33(1978),207-208.

FARRUH SHAHIDI, DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, VUZ-GORODOK, TASHKENT, 700174, UZBEKISTAN
E-mail address: farruh.shahidi@gmail.com